

Converse County Wind Energy Questionnaire

If you noted “don’t allow it somewhere else” in question #9, please briefly state where those areas are. (250 characters or less)	
	Response Count
	131
<i>answered question</i>	131
<i>skipped question</i>	281

Response Text		
1	n/a	May 26, 2010 2:11 PM
2	Do not locate near county parks	May 26, 2010 2:22 PM
3	Wind energy development should not be allowed in areas that can be used as recreation areas. Wind energy should not be allowed in areas that do not currently have adequate access roads.	May 26, 2010 5:47 PM
4	don't locate close to residences	May 27, 2010 7:09 PM
5	Wind energy development (and other large-scale industrial development) should be barred above 5500 feet south and west of I-25 (i.e., in the Northern Laramie mountains). It can be permitted elsewhere.	May 30, 2010 8:26 PM
6	see prior comments	Jun 1, 2010 2:21 PM
7	Don't allow any industrial-scale wind energy development in or along the Laramie Range.	Jun 1, 2010 8:59 PM
8	Please do not put wind turbines in the mountains of the Northern Laramie Range.	Jun 1, 2010 11:02 PM
9	Don't allow it in the mountains. Keep them along highways, railroads, existing transmission lines, and in existing industrial zones.	Jun 1, 2010 11:59 PM
10	In the mountains and so. of I-25	Jun 2, 2010 3:07 AM
11	Don't allow construction in the mountainous areas above 6500 feet in elevation	Jun 2, 2010 4:16 AM
12	Do not put them in the mountains. Leave some things sacred.	Jun 2, 2010 1:17 PM
13	Keep wind development out of the mountains and foot hills.	Jun 2, 2010 2:33 PM
14	This is not a benefit to our state. California has millions of wind turbines and they pay the highest electric bills. Is this what we want too. So other places can have cheap electric.	Jun 2, 2010 3:33 PM
15	Keep all industrial development, including power lines, out of the mountains altogether. Do not locate in areas requiring destruction or disruption of mountain habitat.	Jun 2, 2010 4:59 PM
16	There should be buffer areas between developed sights and restricted sights.	Jun 2, 2010 5:00 PM
17	No industrialization should be permitted anywhere with scenic or historic significance.	Jun 2, 2010 6:36 PM
18	Although Downey Park area is south of I-25 and I checked don't allow it South of I-25, I want to make special emphasis to not allow it in or near the Downey Park-Cold Springs area.	Jun 2, 2010 6:53 PM
19	I find wind turbines a distraction to look at.	Jun 3, 2010 3:19 PM

Response Text		
20	The issue has less to do with specific elevation, and more to do with the impact to a wild, scenic and undeveloped areas. This is easy to define based on existing developed areas and utility corridors. The I-25 corridor would be a great location for overhead lines, support bldgs, etc. as it has been permanently altered, with the public used to seeing such things throughout the system. Same applies to existing power line and pipeline corridors. Common sense and logic will determine any off limit areas, with general rules being if it takes extensive road and site work to be accessible, disturbs wildlife habitat temp or permanently, or creates an eyesore in a place that otherwise has none, it is off limits.	Jun 3, 2010 6:30 PM
21	none	Jun 4, 2010 2:54 AM
22	-Don't allow it near migratory routes or nesting areas of birds and bats. -Limit the building of large numbers of turbines near forests due to forest fire threats and wildlife disturbances.	Jun 4, 2010 5:48 AM
23	Stop further development of wind energy in the county.	Jun 4, 2010 1:51 PM
24	Where wind turbines are placed should be up to the private property owners - whether or not they chose to have wind turbines on their property is their own right.	Jun 5, 2010 4:02 AM
25	If government determines that a certain area is not acceptable, why does that area deserve more consideration if it is private property?	Jun 5, 2010 11:33 PM
26	any property owner has that right	Jun 6, 2010 12:00 AM
27	put these turbines, substations away from mountains, towns and places people live and recreate.	Jun 10, 2010 3:12 AM
28	anywhere other than Converse County which is exactly what will happen if we don't put out the welcome sign. there's lots of wind in our country and the demand for alt energy is strong. if we present a negative or resistant attitude to potential developers, they will simply go somewhere else.	Jun 11, 2010 3:51 AM
29	Near Boxelder Canyon or by Natural Bridge.	Jun 11, 2010 3:51 PM
30	This survey is so amazingly biased against wind energy it is ridiculous.	Jun 11, 2010 8:47 PM
31	Near historical areas, residential housing, disturbing eco systems, make sure there are no know health risks to the general population living around high voltage power lines.	Jun 13, 2010 6:12 PM
32	There is no way to know what the future holds. It's a bad idea to restrict development in certain areas and not others. No one has a crystal ball. It will be hard to change the laws later. Wind energy and any other development effort should be evaluated on a case by case basis - and all areas should be treated the same.	Jun 14, 2010 6:09 PM
33	Who but the property owner has the right to judge this?	Jun 15, 2010 4:51 AM
34	In the middle of a lake, Glendo or in cemetery or in the center of town. Use common sense, private property especially ranches should have a right to develop farms where practical.	Jun 16, 2010 11:57 PM
35	Wind farms should not be permitted in the middle of a body of water, Glendo, Elk lake, or a cemetery. Use common sense. Science and practicality should determine location and the property rights of the land owner should play a strong part.	Jun 17, 2010 1:51 AM
36	public recreation areas and watersheds	Jun 18, 2010 11:04 PM
37	Please don't allow wind turbines/transmission lines anywhere in the mountains. It is the spider webbed lines I am most concerned about not necessarily the turbines-except the obnoxious blinking lights!	Jun 22, 2010 3:23 AM
38	Put them anywhere that has already been developed: cities, interstates, and places with existing infrastructure. NOT IN THE MOUNTAINS!	Jun 22, 2010 3:31 AM
39	There really isn't a good place because it impacts property owners everywhere, no matter where they are placed. If they are not visible from the interstate that means they will be hidden back further on someone else's private property!	Jun 22, 2010 3:14 PM

Response Text		
40	Anywhere it will be seen or will impact hunting and wildlife.	Jun 22, 2010 4:09 PM
41	The most important thing is to keep industrial-scale wind development out of the mountains.	Jun 23, 2010 9:19 PM
42	Keep industrial wind development out of the mountains. put it out on the plains -	Jun 25, 2010 8:45 PM
43	Do not allow wind energy in the Northern Laramie Range mountains and foothills, Industrial scale wind development should not be allowed.	Jun 25, 2010 8:53 PM
44	LOCATION!!!	Jun 25, 2010 10:38 PM
45	Wyoming has lots of miles in the sagebrush to put turbines. 6000' or less. I don't want them in the foothills or timber. Residents have nothing to gain from ugly turbines and a lot to lose.	Jun 25, 2010 10:56 PM
46	keep out of mountains	Jun 25, 2010 11:03 PM
47	Don't allow it near rivers and streams because of erosion factors.	Jun 27, 2010 2:33 AM
48	I'm not unilaterally opposed to industrial wind development, but let's keep it out of the scenic mountains!	Jun 28, 2010 2:34 PM
49	Any writers that any form of wildlife may be affected	Jun 28, 2010 2:45 PM
50	It is important to keep industrial-scale wind development out of the mountains.	Jun 28, 2010 2:48 PM
51	I state parks, scenic canyons, mountains, or new road to State or Federal lands.	Jun 28, 2010 2:52 PM
52	I believe that the land owner has the right to decide this without some group telling him or her what to do. Private property rights do count.	Jun 28, 2010 3:03 PM
53	Please keep wind development out of the mountains!	Jun 28, 2010 3:15 PM
54	In the states that are requesting it. Not here.	Jun 28, 2010 3:17 PM
55	keep the development out of the mountains	Jun 28, 2010 3:36 PM
56	Only allow transmission lines to run parallel with existing transmission lines.	Jun 28, 2010 3:50 PM
57	Keep wind development OFF the mountains!	Jun 28, 2010 4:38 PM
58	Question is confusing and not sure how to respond. Power lines and infrastructure development should also not occur south of I-25 - all wind development - keep out of mountains!!	Jun 28, 2010 4:50 PM
59	Keep industrial-scale wind development out of the mountains	Jun 28, 2010 4:53 PM
60	Don't allow the mountains to become an industrial eye soar and ruin them. there are plenty of places to put them else where. they won't put them off shore at Martha's Vineyard for losing the view so don't put them in mine.	Jun 28, 2010 5:00 PM
61	Don't allow transmission lines in pristine areas when other pathways are available.	Jun 28, 2010 5:10 PM
62	No towers in or near mountains	Jun 28, 2010 5:13 PM
63	Keep wind development out of our mountains	Jun 28, 2010 5:16 PM
64	in middle of desert	Jun 28, 2010 5:17 PM
65	We don't want industrial-scale wind development in our mountains	Jun 28, 2010 7:02 PM
66	Anywhere!!! I work around people from all over the country and they same the same thing (don't allow it!!!) that means more than money to me. When do we embrace what we have? Why should be change it because people want to make more money? Money doesn't las forever!	Jun 28, 2010 7:09 PM
67	The most important this is to keep wind towers out of our mountains.	Jun 28, 2010 7:17 PM
68	Keep turbines out of the mountains. If you must put them on the plains, but not in the beautiful mountains.	Jun 28, 2010 7:27 PM
69	Need to keep industrial scale wind development out of the mountains. Obviously many other scenic area parks natural bridge etc. Also where game animals reside sportsman use area etc.	Jun 28, 2010 7:34 PM
70	any where but the mountains	Jun 28, 2010 7:36 PM
71	Keep the mountains as they are!!	Jun 28, 2010 7:39 PM

Response Text		
72	Keep out of the mountains our last beautiful area clean water, wildlife calore, quietness, limited traffic. just please God's greatest creation and ours to protect fro our children, grandchildren and great grandchildren forever!	Jun 28, 2010 7:47 PM
73	Don't allow turbines on Estarbrook Rd., Cold Springs Rd, Windy Ridge, Boxclder Canyon, Mormon Canyon, or Deer Creek roads!	Jun 28, 2010 7:54 PM
74	Don't allow it in places that are very wild and undeveloped. The more roads and new utility routes that need to be constructed the more scrutiny and concern should be given to a proposal. Contiguous areas of wild land should be preserved from energy development, and the uniqueness of particular ecosystems and landscapes should be considered.	Jun 29, 2010 1:55 PM
75	Keep towers and lines out of mountains area south of I-25	Jun 29, 2010 3:26 PM
76	Keep towers and lines away from areas not already developed - let lines follow areas with preexisting lines	Jun 29, 2010 3:52 PM
77	I do not want the wind towers at all!!!	Jun 29, 2010 5:03 PM
78	Not in any mountains or above 5500 Ft	Jun 29, 2010 5:05 PM
79	Keep the development of anything out of the mountains!	Jun 29, 2010 5:32 PM
80	Use judgement. Not near homes, towns, beautiful country or any other - place industry should not be located	Jun 29, 2010 5:38 PM
81	Keep wind development out of the mountains	Jun 29, 2010 5:43 PM
82	Do not allow it where home owners, who have spent thousands of dollar developing their property and who's object to to having them there have to look at them the rest of their lives. the county commissioners seem to only support the want of those landowners who stand to profit from the towers. There's a hell of a lot of us out here paying county taxes who want some attention paid to our wants also.	Jun 29, 2010 5:53 PM
83	Don't allow wind generators in/near mountains, rivers, lakes, streams.	Jun 29, 2010 7:54 PM
84	As long as its not in the mountains is the biggest concern I have	Jun 29, 2010 8:09 PM
85	Keep industrial scale wind development out of the mountains!	Jun 29, 2010 8:39 PM
86	Close to residential areas	Jun 29, 2010 8:41 PM
87	Keep industrial-scale wind development out of the mountains.	Jun 29, 2010 8:59 PM
88	keep development out of noncommercial areas	Jun 29, 2010 9:13 PM
89	Just to be clear I do NOT want it to be allowed south of I-25.	Jun 30, 2010 12:22 AM
90	don't allow the wind mills near our cemetary or near the river or creek	Jun 30, 2010 1:33 AM
91	keep the industrial-scale development out of the mountains.	Jun 30, 2010 5:41 PM
92	keep it out of the mountians. Keep it in the excisting corridors if they continue with the expantion.	Jun 30, 2010 7:17 PM
93	the most important thing is to keep wind development out of the mountains	Jun 30, 2010 11:42 PM
94	Property owners own their property and can decide what and where they need to do what they are going to do.	Jul 1, 2010 3:27 AM
95	Keep industrial scale wind development our of the mountains.	Jul 12, 2010 3:26 PM
96	Keep them out of the mountains!	Jul 12, 2010 3:31 PM
97	Do not allow it on or near mountains, towns, or the view from your property. Anywhere it will interfere with birds, bats or other wildlife. No power lines across other peoples property	Jul 12, 2010 3:53 PM
98	Wildlife Habitats	Jul 12, 2010 4:00 PM
99	any place of scenic views	Jul 12, 2010 4:07 PM
100	We do not want the turbine in the mountains. That is the last thing I want to see/hear when I am trying to enjoy a family weekend in our favorite place.	Jul 12, 2010 4:10 PM
101	I don't want them in Converse County	Jul 12, 2010 4:20 PM

Response Text		
102	Anywhere in WY unless we get free energy. Put them in Utah where they receive the energy or in California.	Jul 12, 2010 4:35 PM
103	The Downey Park area	Jul 12, 2010 5:05 PM
104	Not on mountains or close by areas. Not close to homes, parks, schools, churches, cemeteries, rivers, lakes, migratory bird or wildlife routes, or any other place that affects anyone other than yourself. that also applies to the placement of the power lines.	Jul 12, 2010 5:21 PM
105	Keep industrial-scale wind development out of the mountains!	Jul 12, 2010 5:30 PM
106	Keep industrial-scale wind development out of the mountains.	Jul 12, 2010 5:32 PM
107	keep wind development out of mountains	Jul 12, 2010 5:39 PM
108	Junk the whole process. the only reason we are looking at this type of energy is because of the global warming scam.	Jul 12, 2010 5:51 PM
109	Anywhere it will interfere with other people's life or ruin their property by putting power lines across their land or yards.	Jul 12, 2010 6:01 PM
110	The most important thing is to keep industrial-scale wind development out of the North Laramie Range and Foothills	Jul 12, 2010 6:14 PM
111	put them out of site. support if it is out in the middle of no where plains	Jul 12, 2010 6:29 PM
112	The areas used should be voted on by the people of the county.	Jul 12, 2010 7:14 PM
113	Keep them out of the mountains	Jul 12, 2010 7:14 PM
114	Do not allow wind turbines and transmission lines, in pristine mountain wilderness areas. As there are no trails or roads there now. Leave this "Natural" undeveloped area for future and current generation to cherish.	Jul 12, 2010 7:17 PM
115	wind development should not be allowed in the mountains	Jul 12, 2010 7:31 PM
116	Keep it out of the mountains	Jul 12, 2010 7:47 PM
117	Some locations have a permanent effect. The access that is needed (roads) will have a permanent effect - they are so large and heavy that small tow hacks allow no way to install they need roads for heavy equipment, especially on elevated hills - no switch backs	Jul 12, 2010 8:07 PM
118	In the mountains	Jul 12, 2010 8:24 PM
119	Don't allow wind turbines in mountains. There are plenty of plains around that will work.	Jul 12, 2010 8:26 PM
120	I do not fully understand if some areas then residents in and if i think it should be up to the land owners on outlying grazing lands	Jul 12, 2010 8:32 PM
121	Ultimately we don't like what development does to our landscape. But we all use electricity ad oil with no development - we all sit at home in the dark - wrapped din a blanket. Hopefully there is a happy medium for all of us.	Jul 12, 2010 8:47 PM
122	Keep out of the mountains.	Jul 12, 2010 8:49 PM
123	There is enough undeveloped flat land not near towns. Keep out of the mountains!!	Jul 12, 2010 8:52 PM
124	The most important thing is to keep industrial wind development and their attendant transmission lines out of our mountain open space!	Jul 12, 2010 8:56 PM
125	Any areas where power grid lines would travel through beautiful, rugged, wild areas.	Jul 12, 2010 8:59 PM
126	Downey park or any mountainous area south of Douglas!	Jul 12, 2010 9:10 PM
127	Keep development out of the mountains!	Jul 12, 2010 9:12 PM
128	no mountain access	Jul 12, 2010 9:41 PM
129	Keep industrial-scale wind development out of mountains	Jul 12, 2010 9:48 PM
130	I believe we should not allow it on national forest lands or within a certain distance of existing homes/national forest lands. I would also like to see it held to areas with roads that can handle long trucks that deliver them.	Jul 12, 2010 9:52 PM

Response Text		
---------------	--	--

131	around National forest lands or any historical area ie: teepee rings, burial ground, trails, older protected homes.	Jul 12, 2010 10:05 PM
-----	---	-----------------------